

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
SIXTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

RYAN CATURA,

Defendant and Appellant.

H041179

(Santa Cruz County

Super. Ct. No. F24215)

Defendant Ryan Catura pleaded no contest to receiving stolen property (Pen. Code, § 496, subd. (a)). Pursuant to a negotiated agreement, the trial court suspended imposition of sentence and placed defendant on probation for three years on condition, among other things, that he serve 120 days in county jail. Following a restitution hearing, the trial court also ordered defendant to pay \$46,012.90 to the victim. Defendant timely filed a notice of appeal from the restitution order. We affirm.

In May 2012, Paul Soderstrom, the handyman/caretaker of a property on Glen Canyon Road in Santa Cruz County, saw defendant sitting in a vehicle in the driveway. Defendant had previously dated Soderstrom's daughter and had been told that he could not come to the property. Defendant told Soderstrom that he was just leaving.

After defendant left, Soderstrom saw that a large toolbox had been dragged outside the workshop on the property. The toolbox was empty. The door to the

workshop was unlocked and the workshop was in disarray. Most of the tools, which belonged to Lynn Eaton, were missing.

In late April 2012, Drew Frankl, the owner of Drew's Used Tools, purchased tools from defendant on four separate occasions. Defendant told Frankl that the tools belonged to him. Defendant also told Frankl that he had sold other items to L-C Tools and Wilson's Tires. Eaton provided a list of the stolen tools, which were worth approximately \$47,000.

Appointed appellate counsel has filed an opening brief which states the case and the facts but raises no issues. Defendant was notified of his right to submit written argument on his own behalf but he has failed to avail himself of the opportunity. Pursuant to *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, we have reviewed the entire record and have concluded that there are no arguable issues on appeal.

The order is affirmed.

Mihara, J.

WE CONCUR:

Bamattre-Manoukian, Acting P. J.

Grover, J.